Name of the Faculty: Faculty of Computer Studies

Name of the Program: PhD

Students Feedback for design and review of syllabus

Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Please mention in 1 or 2 sentences the process of feedback collection, analysis and action taken - Our Ph.D alumni / Scholars feedback is valuable for us as it provides us the inputs regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our student as well as their views on curriculum and look forward to improvise on the curriculum in the following year's Program Structure. We appeal our alumni to provide their sincere feedback to us though google forms as well at the time of coursework.

No. of Respondents :

03

Questionnaire with parameter wise number of responses: (Please fill analysis of scale/ choice based questions only. Please do not mention text based /descriptive question responses here)

Sample table is attached below . In case of an unstructured feedback, please write a brief paragraph explaining how the feedback was taken and a gist of the feedback in place of the below given table

Sr No	Question	Excelle nt	Very Good	Good	Average	Bad
1	The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same	1	1		1	
2	The curriculum of the coursework is well designed and promotes learning experience and research experience of the scholars	1	1		1	
3	The courses offered by the University have relevance to societal needs and Ph.D. potential		1	2		
4	The University encourages contributions from Scholars in curriculum and Scholar development	2		1		

Sr No	Question	`	Yes	No
	Would you recommend any new 1 course/topic to be added to the coursework?		1	

Philosophy of research, linear algebra, research paper writing

Summary of Feedback Analysis and Action Taken:

Sr. No	Particul ars /	Action Taken
Philosophy of research, linear algebra, research paper writing		on mejority of respoce - will be Concidered to start from AY 2017-18

Note: Please enclose Three filled forms.

Name and Signature of

Faculty In Charge / Coordinator

Dr. Ajey Kumar - Faculty In Charge-FoCS, SII

Ms. Archana Pardeshi - Ph.D Coordinator - FoCS, SIU



Name and Signature of Dean

Dr. Dhanya Pramod

Dean - FoCS, SIU

Filled form 1 (Scanned Copy)

Pł	n.D. Coursework Feedback on design and
re	view of the syllabus
Ph.D	Coursework Feedback - Batch Aug. 2016 (FoCS-SIU)
Feed	dback on basis of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree
Ema	ail address *
gaya	ntri.venugopal@sicsr.ac.in
	University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have sived adequate knowledge for the same *
0	5
0	4
0	3
•	2

Filled form 2 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Coursework Feedback on design and review of the syllabus Ph.D Coursework Feedback - Batch Aug. 2016 (FoCS-SIU) Feedback on basis of Rating: 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree. Email address * ujwala.kolte@iccs.ac.in The University curriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have received adequate knowledge for the same * 5 4 3 2 1

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/16OisJAYoRMAiu_YTJdsOpcETyJMM7fmCjy_gMQUeqmQ/edit#responses

48

Filled form 3 (Scanned Copy)

Ph.D. Co	ursework Feedback on design and
review o	f the syllabus
Ph.D Coursework Fe	eedback - Batch Aug. 2016 (FoCS-SIU)
Feedback on basis	of Rating : 5 = Strongly Agree 4 = Agree 3 = Neutral 2 = Disagree 1 = Strongly Disagree.
Email address *	
valshali.dhokchawle	e@gmail.com
The University cu	rriculum has prepared me adequately for my Ph.D. journey and I have

Name of the Faculty: Faculty of Computer Studies															
Name of the Program: PhD															
Stakeholders Feedback for design and review of syllabus Academic Year: 2016-2017 Please mention in 1 or 2 sentences the process of feedback collection, analysis and action taken - Faculty Feedback was not taken by FoCS as coursework was combined with FoM at SIU lavel.															
								No.	of Respondents :	0					
San para	stionnaire with parameter wed questions only. Please despite the state of the state	o not mention tex v . In case of an ι	kt based /	descripti red feedk	ve quest back, ple	ion respon ase write a	ses here).								
San para	ed questions only. Please d nple table is attached below graph explaining how the f	o not mention tex v . In case of an ι	kt based /	descripti red feedk	ve quest back, ple	ion respon ase write a	ses here).								
San para belo Sr	nple table is attached below graph explaining how the fow given table	o not mention tex v . In case of an ι	unstructuren and a	red feedby gist of the	ve quest back, ple e feedba	ion respon ase write a ack in place	ses here). a brief e of the								
San para belo Sr	nple table is attached below graph explaining how the fow given table Question	o not mention tex v . In case of an ι	unstructuren and a	red feedby gist of the	ve quest back, ple e feedba	ion respon ase write a ack in place	ses here). a brief e of the								

	NA								
Sr No	Question		Yes	No					
Sum	mary of Feedback Analy	sis and Action T	aken:						
Jun	Summary of Feedback Analysis and Action Taken:								
		Sr. No				Particul ars /	Action Taken		

Note: Please enclose Three filled forms.

Name and Signature of

Faculty In Charge / Coordinator

Dr. Ajey Kumar - Faculty In Charge-FoCS, SIU

Ms. Archana Pardeshi - Ph.D Coordinator - FoCS, SIU

Lord

Name and Signature of Dean

Dr. Dhanya Pramod

Dean - FoCS, SIU